UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff

V.

CASE NO. 3:22-cv-686-HTW-LGI

THE CITY OF JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE

BEFORE HONORABLE HENRY T. WINGATE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

January 12, 2023 Jackson, Mississippi

The proceedings were reported by a stenographic court reporter. The transcript was produced using computer-aided transcription.

Margaret Wasmund, RDR, CRR
Court Reporter
2851 Shiloh Road
Pelahatchie, Mississippi 39145
margaretwasmund@gmail.com/601-329-6113

APPEARANCES:

REPRESENTING THE PLAINTIFF:

Karl J. Fingerhood, Esquire U.S. Department of Justice Environmental Enforcement Section P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044

Angela Mo, Esquire U.S. Department of Justice, ENRD 150 M Street, N.E., Room 2.900 Washington, DC 20002

Angela Givens Williams, Esquire Mitzi Dease Paige, Esquire U.S. Attorney's Office 501 East Court Street, Suite 4.430 Jackson, MS 39201

REPRESENTING THE DEFENDANT, THE CITY OF JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI:

Catoria Parker Martin, Esquire Terry Williamson, Esquire City of Jackson 455 E. Capitol Street Jackson, MS 39201

REPRESENTING THE INTERESTED PARTY, JACKSON WATER:

Malissa Wilson, Esquire Charles Mitchell McGuffey, Esquire Forman Watkins & Krutz, LLP 210 E. Capitol St., Suite 2200 Jackson, MS 39201-2375

ALSO PRESENT:

Ted Henifin, Interim Third-Party Manager Gerald Kucia, Esquire Mr. Bryan Myers Suzanne Armor, Esquire Mr. Johnnie Purify Suzanne Rubini, Esquire Jim Vinch, Esquire Mr. Jairo Castillo Gabriel Allen, Esquire Ms. Mary Jo Bragan

1 (January 12, 2023, 1:29 p.m.) THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is United States of 2 America, plaintiff, v. City of Jackson, Mississippi, defendant, 3 Case Number 3:22-cv-686. I scheduled this matter today to get 4 5 an expedited status report. Now, before I get to anything else 6 in this matter, I want to be sure that I have the identities of 7 the parties represented. 8 So Terri, do you have their names? 9 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: No, sir, I do not. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll handle it. Then let's 11 start over here. I know you gave your names to the court 12 reporter, but I want you to also provide your name for the record in this matter. So now could we start right there. 13 14 MR. FINGERHOOD: Yes, Your Honor. Good afternoon. 15 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 16 MR. FINGERHOOD: Carl Fingerhood with the U.S. 17 Department of Justice, Environmental Enforcement Section. Мy 18 cocounsel is appearing by Zoom. I'll let her introduce 19 herself. THE COURT: Okay. Cocounsel for DOJ. 20 21 MS. MO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is Angela 22 Mo with DOJ representing the United States EPA. 23 THE COURT: Now, Counsel, who will be the spokesperson for DOJ? 24 25 MR. FINGERHOOD: I will be, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 2 Next. 3 MS. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, Angela Givens Williams on behalf of the United States with the U.S. Attorney's Office. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. And will you be appearing 6 along with any cocounsel? 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Mr. Fingerhood will be making the 8 entire presentation for the United States. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 10 Next. 11 MR. KUCIA: Gerald Kucia, Your Honor. I'm here on behalf of the State of Mississippi and the Attorney General's 12 Office. 13 14 THE COURT: And will you be alone or with somebody 15 else? 16 MR. KUCIA: I'm by myself, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 18 Now, let's go to the other side. 19 MR. HENIFIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 20 Henifin. I'm the interim third-party manager that was named in 21 the order. 22 THE COURT: Right. 23 Next. 24 MS. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor. Malissa Wilson, counsel for the interim third-party manager, with Forman 25

1 Watkins & Krutz. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 MR. MCGUFFEY: Judge Wingate, Mitch McGuffey, cocounsel with Malissa, and also with Forman Watkins & Krutz. 4 5 THE COURT: And between the two of you, who will be 6 the spokesperson? 7 MS. WILSON: Your Honor, Mr. Henifin will be speaking on behalf of the third-party manager since he's here. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. 10 Next. MS. MARTIN: Your Honor, Torri Martin. I first want 11 to apologize for leaving our Zoom call early and running over 12 13 here and then apologize for getting over here a little late, 14 but Torri Martin. I'm here on behalf of the City of Jackson, 15 and I'm joined by cocounsel --16 MR. WILLIAMSON: Terry Williamson. 17 MS. MARTIN: And I will be speaking on behalf of the 18 City of Jackson. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I hadn't seen you in 20 awhile, Ms. Martin. 21 MS. MARTIN: I know, Judge. THE COURT: In fact, it's been about five minutes, 22 right? 23 I know. 24 MS. MARTIN: 25 Yes. For the rest of you, she was on a THE COURT:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
conference call with me in another case, had nothing whatsoever
to do with this. So then she left, but your cocounsel on the
conference call advised me why you were scurrying out of there.
I would have told you that you didn't have to fly out of there
so fast that you might have done damage to yourself. So I've
seen you just five minutes ago.
    Now, then, let's move on to this matter at hand.
                                                      There's
some other people on this Zoom.
     Terri, do we know who they are?
          THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: No, sir.
          THE COURT: I see some other pictures here. Can I
have the identities of these people if they're going to be
involved in this.
         MR. FINGERHOOD: Yes, Your Honor. We've invited some
experts from EPA to be available if necessary to address some
of the court's questions.
          THE COURT: Okay. And who are they? Can you see
your screen?
         MR. FINGERHOOD: Yes.
         THE COURT: Okay. Who are they?
         MR. FINGERHOOD: There's Bryan Myers, who has his
hand up.
          THE COURT: All right. Mr. Myers.
    And who is --
         MR. MYERS: Nice to meet you. Your Honor, I'm the
```

1 drinking water enforcement section chief for EPA in Region 4. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. FINGERHOOD: And in the middle -- well, it just changed. Next to Mr. Myers is Suzanne Armor. She's the EPA 4 5 attorney assigned to this matter. 6 THE COURT: Let me see. How do you spell the last 7 name, Armor? 8 MS. ARMOR: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Suzanne Armor. 10 MS. ARMOR: Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Suzanne Armor. 12 MS. ARMOR: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Got you. 14 MR. FINGERHOOD: And the gentleman in the military 15 uniform is Johnnie Purify. He is also with EPA Region 4. MR. PURIFY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm Johnnie 16 Purify. I'm the manager for the grants and infrastructure 17 18 section in Region 4. 19 THE COURT: Manager for the grants, you said? 20 MR. PURIFY: Grants and infrastructure section. 21 the grants and the funding. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. 23 MR. PURIFY: Yes, sir. 24 THE COURT: Next. 25 MS. RUBINI: Good afternoon, Your Honor. My name is

```
Suzanne Rubini. I'm the deputy regional counsel in EPA
 1
 2
     Region 4. I'm really just sitting in the gallery listening in
 3
      if that's okay.
 4
               THE COURT: That's okay. Thank you.
 5
          Next?
               MS. PAIGE: (Videoconference interference.)
6
 7
               THE COURT: Hold it. We can't hear you.
8
               MS. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, I'll introduce her.
9
     That's Mitzi Dease Paige. She's an AUSA in our office, and
10
      she's just listening in as well.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. I don't see her picture up here.
               MS. WILLIAMS: She's down at the bottom in the --
12
13
               THE COURT: Oh, now I can see her. Okay.
14
               MS. PAIGE: Here I am, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: This is Mitzi. She says that she is
16
      listening; is that correct, Mrs. Paige?
17
               MS. PAIGE: Yes, sir. I'm a spectator in the
18
     gallery.
19
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you so much.
20
                 There was one more person, I believe. Well,
21
      there's two more.
22
               MR. VINCH: This is Jim Vinch. I'm an attorney with
     US EPA in Washington, D.C. And I am just sitting to watch.
23
               THE COURT: Okay, then. Thank you so much.
24
25
          And then I believe the last person -- no, I think there
```

1 may be two more. 2 MR. CASTILLO: Hi. This is Jairo Castillo. 3 manager of the wastewater enforcement section in Region 4 EPA, 4 just in listening mode. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Castillo; is that correct? 6 MR. CASTILLO: Castillo, yeah. 7 THE COURT: All right. Next. 8 MR. ALLEN: Your Honor, my name is Gabriel Allen. 9 I'm an attorney at DOJ, but I am also just in the gallery today 10 only in listening mode. 11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you so much. 12 Next. 13 MR. BRAGAN: I'm Mary Jo Bragan. I'm chief of the 14 water enforcement branch in EPA Region 4. And under that 15 branch are Brian, who does the drinking water enforcement, and Hirah, who does the waste water enforcement. And I am also 16 17 just in listening mode. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you so much. 19 Did I miss anybody? All right. Apparently not. 20 All right. I have all the names. Now, we're ready to get 21 started. I asked for this interim and sort of expedited truncated 22 report session because of all the issues which have befallen 23 24 the City of Jackson since I signed this interim stipulated

order back on November 29, 2022. Back on the date that I

25

signed this particular order, which resulted in the appointment of our manager and the stipulated agreement among the parties, I was under the impression that the situation on Jackson's water was on the mend and improving.

But then, as I understand it now, thousands of citizens were without water over Christmas and New Year's, which was a surprise to me. And so then I decided that it would be appropriate to go ahead and find out where we are now, because I was afraid that the dictates of this stipulated order may not be in order, that the particulars would not be in compliance. So I wanted to hear more about that at this phase so I can know where we are and know what I have to start off doing, because if the dictates of the interim stipulated order would not accomplish our aims, then I need to move on for resolution of this lawsuit through litigation. So that's why I did this.

I'm fully aware that under the terms of the interim stipulated order that reports are supposed to be made quarterly. And the first report to be made under the interim stipulated order would be due at the end of this month. But that's a good ways away from where we are. And if the situation is dire at this point and if we are stuck in some sort of litigation quicksand, then I need to go ahead and start working on a judicial resolution of this matter.

So that's why I have had you-all contacted to be brought here to catch me up with where we are at this time and also for

1 me to understand whether the parties are still in an optimistic 2 agreement or mood with regard to what has already been 3 established among and between you. So then I need to hear something on this. So how would 4 5 you like to proceed on this matter? MR. HENIFIN: Your Honor, I'd like to address some of 6 7 that as the interim third-party manager. 8 THE COURT: All right, then. Go to the podium, 9 please. Now, you are the interim third-party manager? 10 MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: And you were the agreed-upon choice to handle this entire matter based on the conditions and 12 13 stipulations among the parties that -- well, I don't need to go 14 through everything that was in that order. It's a long order. 15 I read every page of it and every word of it as to what is 16 supposed to transpire under your tutelage on this matter. 17 So then if you want to start off and provide a backdrop as 18 to where we were back on November 29 and where we are on 19 today's date so that I can understand what has transpired and 20 be able to answer my questions as to whether we are at a 21 standstill or whether we're still moving forward. 22 MR. HENIFIN: Certainly, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Are you ready to start? MR. HENIFIN: Absolutely. Can I actually roll it 24 25 back maybe a week in front of that before you --

1 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, then. I'll be glad 2 to hear it. 3 MR. HENIFIN: So as you're well aware, we had a state 4 of emergency declared in August --5 THE COURT: Correct. 6 MR. HENIFIN: -- a federal declaration of emergency. 7 We also had a state declaration of emergency. The state order 8 extended till November 22nd, the week before you signed this 9 order. They were providing maintenance support. 10 August 30th, there was only one maintenance person at the 11 Curtis plant, a city employee. There were no maintenance being done of any real sorts. They had very few operators. 12 were in an extremely tenuous situation from a maintenance 13 14 standpoint. And so when the order was declared, MEMA, the 15 state emergency management agency, brought in tremendous 16 resources to get us back operating there at the Curtis 17 treatment plant and did a fabulous job getting resources, 18 getting parts, materials, chemicals, everything that needed to 19 happen. 20 But at the end of that order, they were leaving. 21 that point we had no other -- no maintenance people, no way to 22 order materials, no way to -- except through regular city 23 nonemergency methods. THE COURT: Well, then, excuse me, but what date did 24

25

they leave?

1 MR. HENIFIN: November 22nd. 2 THE COURT: They left on November 22nd? 3 MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 5 MR. HENIFIN: So recognizing we were on this path in 6 November, even though I wasn't appointed third-party manager at 7 that point -- I had been helping the city since the middle of 8 September -- I was working to get additional maintenance 9 resources on the ground. And I worked out a deal with Jacobs, 10 which is a large operation and maintenance contractor. They do 11 water and wastewater across the country. They would allow us 12 to basically buy people, staff augmentation, through a task 13 order type contract. 14 So we lined up a few that we could get here, and they 15 started coming in the week before you signed the order, and 16 then they came in much larger numbers the week after. So early 17 December, we were basically paying Jacobs to bring in 18 maintenance resources to bridge this gap for us. The long-term 19 goal is to have a contract in place. In fact, the first item 20 on our priority project list is to have an operation 21 maintenance contract in place. 22 That's not something you just click your fingers. The 23 contractor has to come in, learn about the organization, learn about what they're bidding on, see how it operates, what 24

condition it's in. So by bringing them in as maintenance

25

resources, not under contract yet for the overall responsibility of the plant, that was giving them the insight they needed to start preparing to take over the operation and maintenance as a full-time contract.

They were with us through December and they came from all over the country because they had to pull resources where they could find them. And we really got into a pretty good routine through December as we approached the holidays. Things were running very well. Very tenuous -- this plant and distribution system remain extremely tenuous from decades of neglect. So I'm never going to stand in front of you in the near term and tell you that we won't run through this same issue again tomorrow, next week, next month. We've got a lot of time and investment to make before we can say that confidently, and we haven't had the chance to do that.

So Jacobs was on the ground helping us through
Christmastime. We got close to the holiday, and this is where
I -- fully responsible for this decision -- we didn't require
these folks that had come from all over the country to stay
over the holidays. They had families, places to go. We
thought we were in a good position because we had run well for
all of December since they had been on the ground. We still
had folks from the city here to operate the plant. We had
folks that operate and maintain the fuel plant, so this has
been mainly focused on the Curtis plant. There's two plants

here in Jackson.

And the fuel plant had some maintenance resources, and they were willing to step up just for this period of time between Christmas Eve and New Year's when we were letting the Jacobs folks go back to their houses. And I went back to my home. I'm from Virginia. I planned to go home for the holidays. So I was home.

We had folks on the ground from the City of Jackson. We had Jordan Hillman who has been working for me who was the former public works director who knows how to run the plant --she's not a water person. She's brilliant and a fast learner. She knows a lot about it. So she was helping direct things. But we didn't require the Jacobs maintenance folks to stay here. So they weren't here during the freeze. We lost a lot of water out of the process as a result. We weren't able to react fast enough.

We drained the system, very similar to what happened in August. And getting it back online has taken -- we got the majority of folks back with some water pressure later around the 27th, 28th. But there were people in south Jackson that were without water for more than two weeks. Unacceptable from our perspective, but really nothing we could do with an immediate fix to make that happen.

We've got lots of things in the order that will improve that system, but it won't happen tomorrow and it won't be done

by February. Sometime towards the end of the year we'll have a much better, robust, resilient system based on what you've approved in the order that's been written. I'm confident of that. I'm optimistic. The citizens of Jackson seem to be hopeful and encouraging; and they were accepting that what happened Christmas Day is the result of decades of neglect.

It wasn't that because I magically was named interim third-party manager, it wasn't really my fault, but I do take some blame for not having resources on the ground. I'm not sure I could have changed that, but I realize the buck stops here now, and so I'm fully accepting of that. But I've got folks there now. We've learned a hard lesson. We're not letting folks go away for any length of time until we've got some real handle on the stability of the system.

That's what got us there. And today, now we've got Jacobs on the ground. The plan moving forward to get to the operation and maintenance contract is they're working with us to learn more about the system, to learn what needs to be done. Our target date right now is February 13th for them to take control of the system in a contract that's still not a full, long-term, lump sum contract. This would be an open book, basically, reimbursement contract for another few months while they continue to gather information that they need to provide a realistic, not risk loaded, bid to us for a permanent -- or a five-year contract for operation and maintenance. So they need

time to make that happen, but I wanted them to be responsible for the treatment sooner, so that's what's targeted our February date.

In the interim, right now, they're hiring the city employees that currently do that work, but they also have advertisements out for other staff because there's just not enough city employees even, but they'll bring on board, they'll be part of Jacobs, and they'll be part of this contract operation going forward.

Unfortunately, it all takes time, and we're in this very tenuous situation. So we're continuing to look for alternatives that might help. Jordan Hillman, who I mentioned earlier, has been studying the distribution system with Terence Byrd, who also was a city employee operating the plant -- still is technically, but he's working directly for us -- to work on the distribution system.

They've found valves that have been closed for a long time. They've been changing, opening valves that have been closed, and we don't know why, dating way back. And so incrementally, I think we're making progress even without major investments which take more time to happen.

I've hired a contractor that's coming in in February to start doing valve assessments. They're going to do valve assessments on every valve in the system. They're going to do hydrant assessments on every fire hydrant in the system.

They'll start the valve work in February and have it completed sometime in summertime, probably towards the end of July. It's going to make a big difference on what we know about the system.

Prior to all this, we worked to get a hydraulic model built of the system, which essentially is a computer representation of the entire water distribution system. Without that, you really have a hard time understanding how the system operates, a system this size serving 150,000 people covering the city of Jackson, which is a large city, 110 square miles. It's very complex. And you can't really make good decisions about your system if you don't have the data, meaning you don't have a model and you can say, If I change this pipe or change this valve or add a tank here, you understand the impact on the entire system.

The city has not had a model of their system since the '80s. And even then, that was a very rudimentary version. So that model -- because we started that back in September with a pro bono offer from one of the largest modeling firms in the country building that model, it will be ready to use this month only because we started back in September trying to get that ready.

I've hired three engineering firms, national firms. One of them has been tasked with taking the model and doing some analysis of the system to try to see if there's faster ways we

can ensure that we can keep pressure in south Jackson while we work on the rest of these improvements.

We're evaluating whether we can switch some of the well system over to the people at the very end of the surface water system. So we've got the well system down in the very southern, western parts of the system. It's not to cross into the surface water system. There shouldn't be a connection between the two. The two have very different quality water. But the people at the very end of the surface water system happen to be on the uphill side of Forest Hill -- of Forest Hill Drive south of the high school.

And those houses, Mr. Cole, who I'm in communication with regularly, is at the very end of the surface water system on a hill. He loses his water first, gets it back very, very late. In fact, yesterday, the first day he's had significant pressure all day long.

If we flip the well system around, we can actually put him on the well system and ensure that he has better pressure. So we're looking at moving the boundaries of the well system to help those that have been impacted the worst over and over again. And he's not -- this isn't his first time without water pressure. He's had a lot of problems with water pressure over many years.

So I'm communicating with the community trying to understand where the problems are -- Jordan Hillman, working

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

side by side with me -- to really get into the distribution system and figure out how we can make that work better. got Jacobs working at the plant making sure things are maintained and working. But we're still -- example at the I don't want to lecture you on water treatment, but essentially one big part of it is you add chemicals --THE COURT REPORTER: Can you slow down just a little. MR. HENIFIN: I'm sorry. I speak fast about water. THE COURT REPORTER: "You add chemicals." MR. HENIFIN: We add chemicals. We mix it real fast into the water as it comes into the plant, and that allows all the small particles to clump together and essentially turn into what we call floc. And then you design a big settling basin, so as the water flows through, gravity lets that drop to the bottom. And then your water is much clearer at the other end. You run it through a filter and off you go. If you're having turbulent problems and the floc doesn't settle out fast enough, then you start putting some of those solids over into the filters which reduces their ability to filter the water. They still filter it. They filter it much slower. So now you don't get as much water out of the plant.

We have a failed system in the bottom of these settling basins, sedimentation basins, that have that filtered material -- or before it gets to the filter, the clumps of small particles that gather together settle to the bottom. We

use the term "sludge." I really don't like that. It's water treatment residuals at the bottom of your sedimentation basin. And those need to be removed every now and then or else it just builds up inside the basin and then, again, you can't run enough water through there to keep the capacity of the plant up.

That system has been not functional at Curtis for decades, so there's no automatic way to get the sludge out. So we have to take one basin down a third of the capacity of the plant every ten days, clean out all that material that's built up in the bottom, bring that basin back on. And then we have to do one of the other ones.

Every time we do that, we reduce capacity of that plant. And the system here in Jackson is so fragile, if we don't put out 45 million gallons a day every day at about 82 to 85 pounds per square inch, we lose part of the system. I'm not sure there's any other system in the country that's in that challenged situation. On top of that, you've got 150,000 people we serve. They should only need about 15 million gallons of water a day. We're putting 45 million gallons of water into the system. It's going somewhere, but it's not going to our residents.

So we're trying to push water into a system that's got leaks all over it, so we're losing pressure every day just by pushing. We should be able to stop pushing at the plants in a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regular water system, one that was fully repaired, for hours without changing the pressure on the system. We can't go minutes. And that's all going to take investment, which we now have funding to do, but it can't be done overnight. It's going to take a long-term, well-planned prioritized work in the water system to start shutting up the holes in it, finding the leaks, fixing the things like the sedimentation beds where the sludge removal system needs to be replaced, a list of things, the 13 on your list, plus many, many more. And that's why we're going to be in this position, it could happen any day, until some of those things really start falling into place. And so --THE COURT: You said that more than one time that it could happen any time. MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. THE COURT: You mean, the system can go back down. MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. THE COURT: At any time. MR. HENIFIN: Any time, yes, sir. THE COURT: And you're servicing 150,000 people. MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. THE COURT: How many of those people are currently without water right now, today? MR. HENIFIN: None that I'm aware of, sir. THE COURT: So it's your impression that all are being serviced right now.

MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: What about the contaminant danger of the water that is being furnished to these 150,000 folk?

MR. HENIFIN: The water is tested regularly. I keep telling everyone it's the most tested water in America because the EPA has been on-site doing many additional tests every day since August 30th. Very high quality water. No concerns about the water.

Now, during the times we lose pressure we issue precautionary boil water notices because theoretically you could have some backflow. So when you lose pressure in your system, it could pull something else into the water system. We haven't seen any evidence of that. Before we can lift those precautionary boil water notices, we have to go out and test in the system to see what we're finding. We're not finding any problems at all. So the water is high quality if we can get it to people.

THE COURT: What is responsible, what device, for getting the water to the user? That would be your pumps, right?

MR. HENIFIN: The pumps at the plant, which again -and then the tanks. So we've got a series of elevated tanks.

And when the plant is producing more water than people can
drink, which it should be -- again, we should be producing a
lot more than they can use, but the leaks out of the system, we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can at periods of time put water in the tanks and then the water in the tanks provides pressure as it starts being used during the day. Typically, you have a peak in the morning around breakfast time, people up using showers, a peak in the afternoon when they get home for dinner. Late at night is when we try to put more water into the system than people are using to fill those tanks up, and so that way in the next day, as they're using it, we're pushing it into the system, the tanks can provide extra pressure and capacity throughout the system. THE COURT: Well, are there peak times for water use? MR. HENIFIN: Yes. So the peak times are morning hours, sometime between 6:00 and 9:00, and the evening, sometime between 4:00 and 8:00. THE COURT: And 6:00 and 9:00, people are getting ready to go to work. Between 4:00 and 8:00, people are leaving work --MR. HENIFIN: Coming home, cook dinner. THE COURT: -- and cooking. MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. THE COURT: So then during these peak hours when there's more pressure on the system as the system currently is operating, then those are the times when you worry most about

the system breaking.

MR. HENIFIN: Well, I worry about it 24 hours a

day -- I'm sorry, Your Honor -- but it's more likely to have low pressure during those times. And so the people that were suffering the most over the last -- the Christmas disaster noticed pressure drops even as late as this week, again, residents on Forest Hill. Jackson State had some pressure issues late yesterday afternoon again that was affecting getting the water to the upper levels of their dorms.

So until we have the tanks filled again -- and this has been a long process of adding water every night when we've got water to add, and that's a bit of a complicated story. But most nights we can put more water into the system to help fill those tanks up, and they continue to get better and better each day. But again, it's not something we can just turn on a switch and fix.

THE COURT: And when you have low pressure, those are the occasions when you can actually experience contaminants.

MR. HENIFIN: Potentially. So the requirement is -the health department requirement and EPA requirement is
around -- you're supposed to have 20 pounds of pressure at each
connection. So at each meter if you maintain 20 pounds of
pressure you're protecting your system. So when we go below
20 pounds of pressure at the meters, that's the time you
potentially could have some contamination get into the system.
Again, we issue precautionary boil water notice because we
don't know that's going to happen, but we want to make sure

1 that if it does, people are boiling their water before they use 2 it. 3 THE COURT: So at present, the 150,000 people are 4 receiving water. 5 MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: Uncontaminated water. 7 MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: And water which should be sufficient for 9 all of the water needs, such as cooking, bathing, et cetera. 10 MR. HENIFIN: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Now, but you mentioned Jackson State and you said that Jackson State in the dormitories were -- Jackson 12 13 State was not receiving water at the highest floors. 14 MR. HENIFIN: For a while yesterday afternoon, yes, 15 sir. 16 THE COURT: So that was as recently as yesterday. 17 MR. HENIFIN: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Are there any other places in the Jackson 19 area as recently as yesterday which were not receiving their --20 MR. HENIFIN: They were --21 THE COURT: -- quality water? 22 MR. HENIFIN: They were receiving their water, just 23 not enough pressure to put it to the second floor. So they were above the requirements, obviously, of the 20 pounds. So a 24 25 lot of taller buildings have booster pumps. Even many of the

JPS schools have booster pumps. So they -- to get water to elevated floors, that's not atypical. Most places that have tall buildings have to have a pump to make sure that the water pressure in their whole building stays the same. I'm not clear as to why Jackson State doesn't have it in all their dorms that way, but I do know they have a number of booster pumps on campus to deal with some of the taller buildings. So I think we were dealing with some of the less elevated three-, four-story dormitories.

THE COURT: You made a comment about preparing a schematic of the water system in Jackson, a model as you called it. How long is it going to be before that model is prepared?

MR. HENIFIN: It should be done this month, sir.

THE COURT: Now, you're talking about January?

MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, will that indicate where the leaks are located?

MR. HENIFIN: No, it won't. It will give us some ideas maybe of places to look. But we've also got the valving contract that I talked about. The third part of that contract is using sophisticated leak detection methods where they actually insert leak listening devices into the large diameter pipes and they flow with the water and they are sending information back to us if they find a leak.

The other thing we've been using with Mississippi

Department of Health is flying drones with thermal imaging.

That works well when the ground is very cold because the water is much warmer and they can see that from the drones. We were very successful as we were losing water in the Christmas holiday and we had the cold weather and cold ground, we found

6 several areas with some large leaks that we were able to

pinpoint using this thermal imaging from drones.

We're waiting for this weekend when the weather is predicted to be cold again at night to fly some more drone flights in areas that are hard to access, creek beds, creek lines, ditch lines, areas that you don't -- we suspect that a lot of this water is going places that people don't notice. We get plenty of reports of leaks, and granted we don't have the people and contractors in place to respond to those leaks. We need to do a much better job, and we're working on that right now to make that happen. And you'll see a big difference in our ability to respond and track leaks in the next month or two as we put contracts in place to help augment city crews that, frankly, have been starved of resources for years, don't have the equipment, don't have the people, don't have the expertise to make those repairs quickly.

And a key to keeping pressure on the system -- all systems have pipe breaks. You've got to get to them fast. You've got to isolate them so they don't drain out your system. And we haven't been successful in doing that in the past. We had a

big break Monday night this week or Sunday night, the days all flip together, on the well system, Highway 18 and TV Road. Our Terence Byrd, who was monitoring the system at the time, saw one of the well tanks draining, responded, went out there, found it. We called in a contractor which we've got on call. And they had it secured in about an hour and a half.

So we lost water in the tank. We didn't drain it. We didn't lose pressure in any houses around it. So that's the kind of response we need to build into the system. I just haven't had time to make that happen.

THE COURT: And you're saying that there will be improvements shown by the end of this month? In February? When?

MR. HENIFIN: No, I think -- I can't promise improvements in the actual system, getting water and guaranteeing that we're comfortable going to bed every night that there's going to be water going up, until sometime later this year. I mean, these are all things we're going to be putting in place -- put in place -- we're working on, but they aren't going to have instant success.

There may be a few things we find through the modeling work and the analysis that maybe help us a little bit faster, that's what we're looking for, but we're only going to know if those exist maybe by the end of February. That's the earliest we even know if there's easy fixes out there that we're

1 missing. 2 So there's no magic bullet here. And if that's what 3 you're looking for, I guess we do need to do something different. But, frankly, I don't think you can do anything 4 5 different that would succeed. 6 THE COURT: Is that because the system is so aged 7 and --8 MR. HENIFIN: I just believe it's --9 THE COURT: -- fragile? MR. HENIFIN: It's fragile. It's just been -- I 10 11 don't think it's all age. I think it's just lack of 12 understanding, lack of expertise, lack of investment, and 13 neglect. 14 THE COURT: Well, do you anticipate the necessity of 15 replacing most of the pipes in the system that deliver the 16 water to the homes? 17 MR. HENIFIN: I don't think they'll -- well, the 18 pipes that deliver the water to the homes from the street to 19 your house, I think those will all be replaced over the next 20 five years. 21 THE COURT: You say they'll all be replaced? 22 MR. HENIFIN: There's special funding that came with 23 the bipartisan infrastructure law that makes that almost 24 100 percent federally funded to replace service lines from

where they are in the street to the house. So we're working on

25

that plan, and we obviously prioritize to get lead service lines done first, which we don't know that we have any lead service lines in Jackson. There's no confirmation of that. But we also have a lot of galvanized lines, and so getting those galvanized lines out. They typically are restricting flow to the houses.

There's also galvanized small diameter pipes in 109 miles of streets in Jackson. So if you can imagine a 2-inch pipe, over the years galvanized pipe builds up a lot of corrosion inside, and the diameter continues to get smaller. So you've got 109 miles of streets that supply water to all the houses on that street through a 2-inch pipe.

So even on a good day when we're putting a lot of pressure on the system, those people aren't seeing full pressure. And so we've got a plan to start replacing those lines first. And we're using the Army Corps of Engineers, meeting with them again this morning on that project, and they should start sometime late summer, maybe early fall, tearing up streets and replacing those pipes.

With the \$20 million that we got through the continuing resolution back in the fall, we should be able to get eight to ten miles done. They've also got potentially more money that was authorized, hasn't been appropriated, in the Water Resource Development Act that will extend that work significantly through the system. But that, again, not fast work, tear up a

neighborhood street from side to side and from block to block, replace the water line, replace the sewer line, replace all the connections going out and do that over and over again mile after mile, but that's what needs to be done.

And then other lines through an assessment, both listening for leaks, doing a more detailed depth of when they were installed, what type of material, what their break history was, we'll do a risk analysis of all the pipes and decide which ones do need to be replaced. And it tends not to be based on age. I know everyone likes to gravitate towards old, because I'm an old guy. I'm not broken down totally yet. I've still got some life in me. And all our pipes do too -- many of them do.

But you've got to determine what the real factor is. And age tends not to be the determining factor. When you replace based on age, you end up taking a lot of pipe out of the ground that had a lot of years left in it. Not saying that all the old pipe is great, but we've got to be really strategic about what we replace because the \$600 million won't replace every pipe in the system, fix all the problems in the plant. And I don't know that ten times that would do it.

THE COURT: Let me ask you about this repair. When you're repairing all these pipes, how much of this will involve tearing up the yards of homeowners?

MR. HENIFIN: The part from the street to their house will definitely be tearing up the yard of the homeowner. It

goes from the meter box to your house, that stretch. But that will be done by contractors that we'll hold very close accountability for to make sure that they don't leave the yards in a mess, that they restore everything back the way it was when they started. And some of those will be challenging, potentially driveways, other landscaping.

There's techniques where you can actually push the water line under all of that using directional drilling and other hydraulic tools so you don't have to open cut and dig it up. The cheapest way is digging a trench. These are not real deep lines. They're pretty small diameter. But when you run into a real problem, you can use hydraulic methods of pushing the line under, and they can -- it's amazing the technology -- they can come up within inches of where they're trying to come up, and then you connect it to the house at that point, and you haven't dug up much of the yard at all.

So we'll be balancing those costs against the impact of the landscaping in the yard in deciding which method we use every street and every house that we go to.

THE COURT: So you anticipate laying this pipe or determining that the old pipe is still suitable in 150,000 dwellings?

MR. HENIFIN: That pipe -- the service lines -- and I'm sorry. I'm complicating things -- but the service lines, the part from the street to the house, the goal is to replace

all of those.

THE COURT: All of them.

MR. HENIFIN: All of them across the city, but that will be years. I mean, you can't get those done overnight. But those aren't causing our problem with the threats to the system losing pressure. Those are just potentially causing individual house pressure challenges with maybe water quality that comes from the line that they own. That's the homeowner's line from the meter to the house. Galvanized lines can leach chemicals as well.

So the idea is to improve -- if we're getting them great water to their meter and then their own pipe system is adding contaminants to it, then have we done anything? No. So we've got to get it as far to their house as we can in the high quality that we send it out of the plant. And part of that is the private system.

Then when it gets in the house, we could argue that we should be in there because almost every house built before 1986, all the plumbing was soldered with lead solder. And as someone who has soldered pipe, it's a lot easier with the lead mix solder than it is without. So plumbers probably used it into the '87, '88, '89, '90 range because they stockpiled it as it was being taken out of production and wasn't allowed to use.

So every house in Jackson that has copper pipe that was put in before 1990 is suspect of having lead solder. And the

1 lead that they might see in their pipe or in their water is not 2 coming from the plant and not coming from the pipes that the 3 city owns. It's coming from their own house. 4 THE COURT: And so will the homeowner be responsible for that cost? 5 6 MR. HENIFIN: For the cost to get to their house, no, 7 That's again -sir. 8 THE COURT: The cost that once it comes to the 9 house --10 MR. HENIFIN: If they want to repipe their house 11 inside, that's on them, yes, sir. That's on them, even though it might be 12 THE COURT: 13 lead and put in a lot earlier, that would still be on the homeowner? 14 15 MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. It's all on the homeowner, yes, sir. And again, a lot of lead testing is available out 16 17 there to see if your drinking water -- if the water in your 18 house is adding lead to your water. There's plenty of testing 19 kits that are available to do that to find out. Most houses, 20 over time, whatever was going to leach out of it has leached 21 out of it. So if your house was really built in 1990 and had 22 lead solder, there may be no leaching going on anyway. 23 And we're also making sure the condition of the water that we're putting out into the system is as noncorrosive as 24 25 possible. So you do that by putting a corrosion control plan

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in place, which we're finishing up now, to ensure the pH is at the right level all the time and that the right chemicals are in the line so that we don't leach out any lead in our lines, let alone in the individual homeowner's lines. Lead has not been an issue in Jackson; it really hasn't. THE COURT: So do you have any kind of projection as to what a homeowner would be expected to be out of concerning this whole procedure? MR. HENIFIN: I would think a lead test in the house, if they were concerned about the age of their house and their own piping, less than a hundred dollars, I think. I don't Probably look at the experts here from EPA. I'm not sure what an individual homeowner lead test is, but they probably know what it cost. But that would be -- if they had to replace all their pipes in their house, that's an expensive effort. THE COURT: That's what I was asking about. MR. HENIFIN: But I really don't think we're in that position where there's many people that would have to do that, if any, in Jackson. THE COURT: Okay. Let me back up now to the plant. On this sludge you were talking about, this sludge that sinks to the bottom you were telling me about. MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, that is a contaminant, isn't it?

MR. HENIFIN: No. Essentially it's just small particles that are in the reservoir today. And so when the water comes in to us, you need to get all those small particles out. Some of them could be a contaminant. But essentially, we take that sludge and we send it to the wastewater plant where it's being treated. So it's not going into anybody's water. It settles out and then it goes into filters. The rest of it's filtered out.

So really when the water comes out of the plant, we've taken everything that was in the reservoir that could potentially be a contaminant, taken it out of the water. We've added chlorine to make sure there's no bacteria in it and make sure the chlorine stays in the water long enough to be effective. And then we send it out of the plant with some residual chlorine in it to make sure it stays that way through the piping system all the way to your house. And we check for residual chlorine out in the system on a regular basis to make sure we're putting the right amount of chlorine into the system.

THE COURT: What's the most dangerous chemical you've encountered so far as part of sludge or anything else with the treatment plant?

MR. HENIFIN: I have not done the analysis. I haven't seen an analysis done of our residuals. I don't know what that would be. But again, we're getting it all out before

it ever gets to the drinking water system so we're not overly focused on what's in the sludge.

THE COURT: But you've encountered dangerous chemicals, though.

MR. HENIFIN: Not personally. I don't know what any of the tests have shown for the sludge. I haven't looked at that data, if it even exists here. There's a good chance you'd have the lovely PFAS, PFOA, some of the other sort of lifetime chemicals might be settling out in some of that, but I have no -- I don't even want to guess what might be in there. I don't think there's any -- you know, the reservoir water is a recreation water. People are swimming, enjoying it on a regular basis. That's the same water we're starting with. So if they were being contaminated swimming in the water, then we might be even more concerned. But our source water is a good source water, as is the water that goes in the river. I don't think we've got any great concerns about additional contaminants getting into our drinking water.

THE COURT: So you don't know of any instances where people have been hospitalized because of contaminants in the drinking water?

MR. HENIFIN: I do not know of that.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you another question now. I'm shifting gears. You were talking about this schematic that's supposed to reveal a lot about the model for

1 the city, including where potential leaks might be. 2 MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Are you aware that some neighborhoods 4 around Jackson are complaining of leaks --5 MR. HENIFIN: Yes, I am. 6 THE COURT: -- in that neighborhood? 7 MR. HENIFIN: Yes, Your Honor. 8 neighborhoods -- I'd say every neighborhood is complaining 9 about leaks. 10 THE COURT: And that the water is puddling up in 11 several neighborhoods to a deep level. 12 MR. HENIFIN: I haven't seen deep level. I've seen 13 lots of puddles, running water going in the storm water, and 14 we're continuing to report those. And to the extent we can fix 15 them, we're getting to them. But we triage those. Bigger 16 leaks we spend more time and priority on than the smaller 17 leaks. I'd like it to be different, and it's going to be 18 different. And we're going to have some contractors in place 19 to help us fix that. But with the current setup that we've got 20 at this point in time, we don't have the capacity to get to all 21 those leaks. We don't even have a good system to track who 22 reported it, if they even got through to us. 23 THE COURT: Well, is there a number that people are supposed to call for that? 24 25 MR. HENIFIN: So, exactly, that's part of the

problem. They can call 311, and there's also a water maintenance number and a -- water maintenance and 311 are really the numbers. Those are published. There's an after hours number as well. I personally don't believe any of that is handled well. We're too slow, if we even respond if they even get through. Currently working diligently on changing that, creating a call center that's going to be a one call for water -- all things water. And we're going to have a system behind it to know who called, what they complained about, what the outcome was, where the leak was. We'll be able to dispatch from there.

This is basic 1990s concept here, but we're going to try to step up into that to make sure we've got a robust system to actually be able to tell people, Yes, you called in on this date and you reported this leak. And we're going to tell you it might be two weeks before we get there because we might not have the capacity yet to mobilize. But at least they know that we've heard them and we got it on the schedule. And if we don't get there in two weeks, we'll call them back and say, Hey, we had these problems. We weren't able to get to your leak. We're going to get it whatever date that is.

THE COURT: Are you presently keeping a ledger on that?

MR. HENIFIN: It's done by paper, on scraps, on wherever. It's awful.

1 THE COURT: So you don't really have a ledger at this 2 point. 3 MR. HENIFIN: No, sir. THE COURT: So at this point, you can't say how many 4 5 people are experiencing these leaks in their front yards? 6 MR. HENIFIN: Correct. Been on the job six weeks. 7 THE COURT: I understand. 8 MR. HENIFIN: I want to put that in. Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: I know. I know you just got here. 10 MR. HENIFIN: But it's a top priority. And I've 11 got -- the one hire I've made from the outside is focused on that every day all the time. He comes from a private sector, 12 13 Verizon customer service background. He is -- Microsoft is 14 working with us. Again, it looks likes we're going to get some 15 pro bono work from them to get the system set up we need to 16 make that happen. 17 THE COURT: Next question. You talk about the need 18 for maintenance people. 19 MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: What's your optimal number of persons you 21 need? 22 MR. HENIFIN: I don't know that, sir. We haven't 23 done a staffing analysis. On the plants, the staffing analysis is all about Jacobs figuring that out. So we're going to hand 24 25 that operation maintenance responsibility over to this

contractor. They're currently working on what their staffing plan needs to be. But I don't have a staffing plan. I'm not spending time there because it's going to be their responsibility sometime in mid-February.

THE COURT: So at present you-all don't even know yet how many people you need on hand daily to keep the system going?

MR. HENIFIN: Correct. I mean, I know that I have to have a licensed -- what we're currently doing, we have to have a licensed operator buy a permit. We have to have a helper to a licensed operator all the time there in the plant. And then I can tell you we need probably five to ten maintenance people. We're operating with about five Jacobs folks, contracted folks, on a daily basis. So we're probably already short. But again, when they take the contract, they will determine their staffing plan and their requirements and that will be built into the contract. So I'm not spending any time really worried about that staffing plan. I'm just making sure we've got enough coverage to keep the plant running at the moment.

THE COURT: Do you think that you can find the people you need in Mississippi or will you have to go nationwide?

MR. HENIFIN: I think Jacobs will be looking for folks everywhere. They've posted jobs. They're putting it out in social media. They know there's not enough licensed operators close by. They're going to hire pretty much

everybody in the city that works for water that wants a job, and then they're starting to advertise already for others.

They'll be doing training to try to get people up to fully licensed operator. But they need to have a licensed operator at each plant every day all the time. So they need a lot of licensed operators, and we know we don't have that here.

Jackson is unique in being one of the only surface water systems in the state. And we're definitely the most -- we're not close to anybody else that has a surface water system. Different licensing requirements for surface versus well, and so people that operate some of the well systems around us can't qualify to run our system without getting additional training and operation licensing done.

THE COURT: And where is that done, this training?

MR. HENIFIN: I think Mississippi State runs a short course on drinking water licensing. I think there's probably some others, but I haven't really researched that here.

THE COURT: Okay. What about well water, who does the licensing for that?

MR. HENIFIN: Mississippi Health Department still does that. I think the licensing training is probably done, again, through community college or through Mississippi State University. I'm not sure where that's coming from either.

THE COURT: And what period of time is that study?

MR. HENIFIN: I couldn't tell you.

1 THE COURT: In order to get -- is that a --2 MR. HENIFIN: It's typically -- I think to get a full 3 license, it's typically a couple years because you have to have 4 some practice as well as classroom training. So it's state 5 dependent somewhat on the requirements. But most places 6 it's -- you start out at a operator one or at the trainee 7 level. You work. You learn. You take a test. You move up. 8 And I don't know exactly what the intervals are here for the 9 various tests you have to take to pass to get to be a fully 10 licensed operator, but it's likely a couple years. 11 THE COURT: Is there on-the-job training? 12 MR. HENIFIN: Yeah. That's how they're moving along 13 by being the assistant to the licensed operator and learning 14 the trade there as well as classroom training to learn the 15 theory and the chemistry, the math, everything that has to go 16 into it so they can operate the plant. 17 THE COURT: Now let's talk about funding. I think 18 it's going to be my last topic. 19 MR. HENIFIN: The fun one. 20 THE COURT: Do you need some water? 21 MR. HENIFIN: I'm good, I think. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 Thank you, sir. MR. HENIFIN: THE COURT: Let's talk about the funding. How much 24 25 funding do you need to put Jackson in a decent position?

MR. HENIFIN: I think we've got that through the 600 million that came through the omnibus bill. So that gives us 450 million for infrastructure, another 150 million for technical assistance, which is a little bit nebulous at this point what that exactly can use. But we know we can use some of that for operation and maintenance as well.

We need to get onto a sustainable financial plan where we're raising enough revenue and collecting the revenue to continue to operate the system, because the federal government money is really one-time money, right? And we need a sustainable path going forward where Jackson is generating enough revenue to operate their system and reinvest in it on a regular basis.

The 600 million is going to get us a long way towards getting the major systems back where they need to be to reset everything. But at that point, we need to be raising enough revenue here to maintain that going forward, staff -- in this case, pay the contractor on a regular basis and continue to operate the system and reinvest in it. And so that's why I'm pretty confident that the 600 million is going to get us to that point. The concern is the operation and maintenance going forward, we need a different way to generate revenue to make sure we've got that. So that's part of what's required in the order.

The next deliverable I have, other than the quarterly

report, is a financial plan that includes how we're going to generate the revenue in the long haul. That's due at the end of this month. So we're still working hard with some professional financial management firms and a rate setting group and some other consultants that I'm working with to figure out what that all looks like and be able to deliver that to the city -- to the parties, DOJ, EPA, and the city, on the 26th of this month.

THE COURT: Will my next report or the report after detail these moneys and who will have oversight over these moneys?

MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. I believe at this point I have the oversight based on the order of how those moneys are planned out and how they will be spent.

THE COURT: And when will you have a complete report on that?

MR. HENIFIN: It's probably maybe not the next quarter but the quarter after. We'll have a great financial plan at the end of this month, but it's not going to specifically say which project is done in which year. We'll have target dollars that we will be spending in each year going forward, which will pull down that \$600 million. It will also pull down the other loans that we get through the state for the state revolving loan fund, which we have an open loan now that's valued at about 29 million. And we have our ARPA money,

which is another 50 million worth of water work.

You add all that together, the Corps is putting their 20 million in. They had authorization for another 100. That's where this big number of, like, 795 has been thrown around. It's the combination of all those sources. The Corps will be responsible for their money, but I will be helping point them in the right direction of how we want it spent to improve the system to the most optimal way of using their dollars. Their dollars can be used for some sewer work, so that's why we're doing sewer line and water line using Corps money. The rest of the money that's coming to us is really restricted to just drinking water.

THE COURT: Will all this money be deposited in a central source or will the donors have control of the money until it's necessary?

MR. HENIFIN: They do. It's a reimbursable program. The state revolving loan fund is a reimbursable program. So when we enter a contract with a consultant or a contractor, they submit an invoice under an approved work plan that we've already submitted to the SRF folks. Then when we get the invoice, we show them we've paid the invoice, they pay us back, or we show them we need money to pay the invoice, they pay us, and we pay the contractor. So the money is almost never here. It's always in the federal coffers or the state is holding it and waiting for us to ask for the money to come down.

THE COURT: So these moneys are coming from different sources?

MR. HENIFIN: I don't think it's clear yet. Maybe that's a question for EPA. I believe the 450 million state revolving loan fund is coming through the traditional state revolving loan fund process in which EPA gives it to the states as a capitalization grant; the state holds that and then disburses it. And that's a question for the EPA if that's going to be the same method. That's the statutory method the state revolving loan fund was set up with. It's a reimbursement method. States administer the dollars, but the dollars flow from the federal government to the state and then from the state to the actual utilities that are spending the money.

THE COURT: Will the state auditor's office be involved in this accounting process, this --

MR. HENIFIN: I'm assuming -- again, this is beyond my knowledge of the state's processes, but I know they have to have audits to meet the requirements of the state revolving loan fund that go back to EPA and to the federal government. So there's constant audit requirements on all that money, no matter who has got it. And when we're spending it, we're subject to all those rules as well. So even though it's just a reimbursement, there's special procedures that we have to follow to make sure that we're following every -- crossing

every T and dotting every I to make sure that we're doing it in accordance with the regulations and the statutes that govern the state revolving loan fund federal dollars.

There's wages that have to be paid. The Davis-Bacon Wage Act, which requires doing regular audits of our contractors to make sure they're actually paying their people the required wages. There's American Iron and Steel requirements to make sure we're buying things that we have to buy through American made products.

There's a lot of little strings attached to these dollars that are federal dollars. But again, that's not unusual. Most people in the utility world that's been around the state revolving loan fund are pretty used to all that.

THE COURT: But when these moneys come in, these moneys would have to be reported to the court?

MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: For the court then to say whatever it has to say about the receipt and oversight of the moneys?

MR. HENIFIN: That would come as part of the quarterly report. You'll see what moneys come in and what money has flown out.

THE COURT: So are you saying that moneys will come in and go out before the court has a chance to look at it?

MR. HENIFIN: Essentially. The 600 million or the 450 that comes SRF will come in. It will be programmed in that

financial plan that's due at the end of the month, again not by specific project but by year we anticipate to spend it. Over the course of the development of this plan over the next several months, each quarterly report you'll see more detail around here are the projects we're planning to do -- specific projects we're planning to do to spend this money down.

There's specific projects we'll do to use the ARPA money, which is already actually in process. ARPA is the money that the state matches, and that process was in place already, and the city gave us that money to work. So we've got an ARPA pot, a state revolving loan fund pot, and then just our regular operation and maintenance money which the city is providing.

THE COURT: So I'm a little confused here. Who would actually draw down the money?

MR. HENIFIN: I will.

THE COURT: So then on your signature, you'll be able to draw down as much as you want?

MR. HENIFIN: Big approval processes to be able to get to that point. They're all built into the system. So I have to describe and write out a work plan for a particular project. That project goes through an approval process before they say, Yes, it meets all the requirements of state revolving loan fund.

And that approval process currently in the state revolving loan fund is EPA and, in Mississippi's case, the Mississippi

```
1
     Department of Health. Even a very close eye that it's
 2
      eligible, it's in our work plan, it's what we want to do.
                                                                 They
 3
      approve it. I let the contract. The contract starts
 4
      invoicing. I take the invoice, ask for reimbursement.
      that's how that works. And so there's never really money
 5
 6
      coming to me. It's all approval of a project on a budget.
                                                                 And
 7
      then as I start executing that, I'm asking for the
 8
      reimbursement as the work's being done.
 9
                THE COURT: Now, that budget should have been
10
      approved by the court in the quarterly report, correct?
11
               MR. HENIFIN: The financial plan, which is going to
      cover kind of the whole deal, is going to be approved. I don't
12
     know that it's subject to your approval -- everything is
13
14
      subject to your approval, Your Honor. I don't know the
15
      mechanics of that other than I submit that report. You'll get
16
      that, the financial plan. And actually EPA and the city and
17
      everyone else that's a party is going to have some approval of
18
      that, including it's going to be coming to you as well.
19
                THE COURT: Don't move.
20
          Yes.
21
               MR. FINGERHOOD: Your Honor, if I could be heard?
22
                THE COURT: Go right ahead.
23
               MR. FINGERHOOD: I think to some extent the court
     has -- all of us -- the state, the EPA, the proposed
24
25
      third-party manager -- came together with the list of priority
```

projects, so that is where the work is going to be focused on. So in essence, those are the tasks, that's where the money is going to be spent, and that was part of our order that it go to these projects, and there's a process too as far as prioritizing things within that and budgeting within that. And we're working on that progress -- with that process right now with the manager and the state and EPA.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you so much.

Now, when pipes burst, as I'm sure some did once the temperature went below 32.

MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did y'all have a whole lot of that --

MR. HENIFIN: Yes, we did.

THE COURT: -- this last climactic situation.

MR. HENIFIN: We did. We did. Not dramatic. A lot of little pipes, some a little bit larger, but not dramatically breaking giant pipes and having to secure parts of the city, not that different than kind of every day in Jackson. I think what we had a lot of, Your Honor, was people were preparing --citizens of Jackson, at our urging, were preparing for this freeze and protecting their own homes, their own businesses, by running their faucets so they didn't freeze. And then they might have run them a little more than what really needed to be done. And so we had a big demand, we had some breaks, and we had plant problems. And those all added together to us not

having the ability to keep the system pressurized.

What was interesting was that Christmas holiday was

Sunday. The actual celebrated holiday was Monday. Tuesday, we
saw significant response back -- positive response to our

system. Anecdotally, we believe a number of people went back
to work, found that they had left their faucets on to keep
their businesses from freezing.

We also have a lot of stories of sprinkler systems in buildings that weren't occupied over the holidays freezing and breaking and running free. A lot of buildings have backflow preventers which are typically exposed outside or in unconditioned spaces. Those broke and froze. And I'm thinking that when they got back to their buildings after the holiday, they secured all that, because we saw amazing response to the system Tuesday, and we didn't fix anything that would have accounted for that, but we don't know that because no one is reporting that to us. That's inside their building or on their property. And so I think a significant amount of our challenges were really frozen pipes in private buildings.

THE COURT: Do you have any advice to homeowners about when the temperature dips below 32 degrees what they ought to do?

MR. HENIFIN: Just need a little drip in their faucets. They don't need to turn them on wide, but definitely should be dripping those faucets and the outdoor hose bib the

same way. It doesn't need to have a lot of flow.

I actually heard this from one of the Jacobs folks that's been working with us. Corpus Christi had a big freeze when he was working there and they made that advice and they found that the water consumption jumped because people that live in the South and aren't used to what folks in the northern climates know where they freeze a little more often, you just put a little drip. And if you've got water moving, it's not going to freeze up. And I think we might need to clarify that a little better for homeowners going forward, that it doesn't take opening the faucet wide, just a little drip will take care of it.

THE COURT: When you say a little drip, do you mean drip.

MR. HENIFIN: Yeah, I mean --

THE COURT: Drip. Drip.

MR. HENIFIN: -- just drip, drip, drip, yeah. Maybe a little faster than drip. Drip. But not much more. We can probably put a YouTube video out there to show people how to protect their faucets.

THE COURT: So maybe then they can sort of measure how much drip they can --

MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir. I think that's a great idea.

THE COURT: Instead of just opening the faucet up completely.

1 MR. HENIFIN: Yeah, exactly. And I think there was a 2 lot of that too. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Well, thank you. You've been very accommodative --4 5 MR. HENIFIN: Thank you. THE COURT: -- with my questions. Do you have 6 7 something that I didn't ask about that you think it's important 8 to state? 9 MR. HENIFIN: We're doing our best to -- I'm running. 10 I'm trying to get this done, and it's killing me when we have a 11 disaster like this. And I'm telling you right now it could be 12 I'm doing everything I can to keep it from 13 happening. And I've stood in front of 140 people with 14 Councilman Banks on January 2nd after driving 11 hours down 15 here and spent three hours in front of 140 people who hadn't 16 had water since Christmas day. That's a tough audience, but I 17 felt for them because they need to have water. 18 That's the only reason I'm here. I don't need a job. I don't need this work. I think Jackson deserves better, and I'm 19 20 trying. 21 THE COURT: Thank you so much. 22 Do I need to hear from anybody else to add something to 23 what our manager said? 24 MR. FINGERHOOD: Just briefly, Your Honor. First of 25 all, I wanted to thank Your Honor for acting on the proposed

order so quickly. I know it's pure speculation, but I think if what happened, unfortunately over the holidays, had occurred without having an interim manager in place, things could -- even though it seems inconceivable -- have been even worse. So I appreciate the court acting on that quickly.

And I think going forward, I've mentioned to other parties, we were thinking it may make sense to have regular status conferences maybe two or three weeks after the court receives the quarterly reports from the third-party manager to keep the court apprised of the progress and address any questions the court may have.

THE COURT: That will be fine with me. I would like to know exactly what's going on. And when you sent over the interim stipulated order, I forget what time it came to the office, but I had it read within the hour because this is a critical matter for the city of Jackson, and I read it as soon as it hit my desk that same day, within an hour after it was delivered over here.

I thought that the order was detailed. It set out the aims and aspirations, what was anticipated and expected, and it is that reason that I asked for this status conference today because, after I read everything, I got the impression that we were on the improvement side and that these matters were going to be addressed forthwith that we had dealt with, the city had dealt with with its team here, a great team of professionals in

all these necessary areas had dealt with all of the problems that had plagued Jackson to that point.

Only now, I thought the city was moving towards rectifying it for the future. And so I was highly surprised when I saw the number of people who were left without water on Christmas and over New Year's. After Christmas, I thought maybe there was just a hiccup in the system. But then when New Year's rolls around and after that then I recognized I need to have a status conference so I can see if we were all on the same page still and if this matter was moving as expeditiously as we had hoped.

So I think this report is encouraging. And so I know our manager has not been on the scene for any length of time. And I'm just hoping that our citizenry will understand all the problems that the city has, but I certainly understand the frustrations that the citizenry is encountering when there's no water.

Now, that is a precious commodity, and we take it for granted. We take it for granted when we want to take a shower. We take it for granted when we want to go and cook something. I'm talking about you-all, not myself, because I don't cook. I'm trying to learn. I even sent off for a book on how to cook, but anyway -- and take it for granted when we have all these water usages, and then to be without, not to mention some of the ones that's almost embarrassing to talk about, such as

toilet use and people having to go and buy water to hold it in the bathroom.

And I have seen people at the various stores stocking up on that for that very reason. When they have been talking to each other, I've heard them discussing why they had to buy so much water. But there are just so many usages that we expect from our water, we don't recognize how vital it is to us until we're without it.

Unfortunately, in Jackson, we have gone through many phases of being without. And the citizenry now recognizes that this is a constant sore with them. And so they are highly, highly frustrated over this development.

And now it's more like a badge of honor for someone to say, I had water through Christmas and New Year's. And then somebody else speaks up and says, Well, I didn't. And it's just -- it's awful.

And I heard some people in Walmart, who didn't know who I was and what my involvement might have been in any of this, talk about how they had to tell some relatives who had come from another state to visit over the holidays to pack back up and head back to their homes in another state and how they missed out on all of that because they couldn't even prepare the sumptuous meal they had prepared that they had thought they were going to have and then couldn't enjoy the company of their relatives who had already crossed two or three state lines to

get here. And now, they were getting in a car, going back, and taking the Jackson folk with them so that they could enjoy the holidays somewhere together with water.

And so this is, as everybody here knows, a very serious outcome, and I'm just hoping that our manager here will be able to put everybody on page and move us on down the road as quickly as possible. I understand the difficulties here that you just got here. I just signed this order on this interim stipulated order just back in November. So I'm just hoping that my full order that comes in our report at the end of the month will provide all the details that we need to provide, which would include a lot of what I've already inquired about. But nevertheless, for my records and for my upkeep, I still want my January order when it comes in. It's supposed to be here, I think, January 30th; is that correct?

MR. HENIFIN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And so then for January 30th then, I want to have my order so I can go through it. And trust me, I will go through it line by line. And based on some things you've said already and some things I anticipate being in the order, I will pull some books and start doing some more research on it. So I look forward to that.

Now, is there anything else from any of you? Well, I see no hands. I thank all of you for coming over on such short notice, and I look forward to seeing you at the next briefing.

```
1
      Thank you so much.
 2
                MR. HENIFIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
           (Proceedings concluded at 2:47 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Margaret Wasmund, RDR, CRR, CRC, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter

Dated this 5th day of March 2023.

Margaret Wasmund MARCARET WASMUND, RDR, CRR, CRC COURT REPORTER